

## Inventory of Educational Effectiveness Indicators

Category	Have formal Program Learning Outcomes (PLOs) or Student Learning Outcomes (SLOS) been developed?	Published where? (website)	Do PLOs include or imply link to Core Competency? (AY 2015-2016: Information Literacy)?	Process of Core Competency Assessment: 1. Course 2. Assignment 3. Type of Student Artifact 4. Instrument	Data (measurement of the competency)	Action Taken in Response to the Data (How are the Findings Used?)	Date of Last Program Review
INSTITUTIONAL	YES (SEE GE)						NA
GENERAL EDUCATION	YES	<a href="http://hilo.hawaii.edu/academics/gened/GoalsandOutcomes.php">http://hilo.hawaii.edu/academics/gened/GoalsandOutcomes.php</a>					NA
DEGREE PROGRAMS: BACCALAUREATE							
BA- PSYCHOLOGY	YES; "Goals for Student Learning in the Major"	<a href="http://hilo.hawaii.edu/catalog/psychology.html">http://hilo.hawaii.edu/catalog/psychology.html</a>	See PLOs 2, 4 & 5	1. <u>Course:</u> PSY 445 Practicum 2. <u>Assignment:</u> The paper for the course will be in an area related to the work done at the placement site. The paper should include a review of relevant literature and current trends related to the work done at the placement site. In addition, the paper should include a discussion and critique of the services provided in relation to current trends, lessons learned, and reflection on the practicum experience. The paper must be 6-8 pages, double-spaced, include 5 peer-reviewed sources, with citations and references in APA format.	Twelve (12) papers were read and independently by 3 Psychology Department faculty. Inter-rater reliability was 91%.  Two papers (16.67%) were rated as Advanced (range=3.6-3.8)  Eight papers (66.67%) were rated as Competent (range=2.9-3.4)  Two papers (16.67%) were rated as Emerging (range=1.8-2.3)  Papers showed the most strength in	In Fall 2016 the Psychology Department will discuss the results of the Information Literacy assessment to determine appropriate next steps to enhance students' understanding of the requirements for literature review papers, APA format for citations and references, as well as their overall writing and organization of papers.	2010 <a href="http://hilo.hawaii.edu/uh/vcaa/documents/PsychologyMOUMay2010.pdf">http://hilo.hawaii.edu/uh/vcaa/documents/PsychologyMOUMay2010.pdf</a>

## Inventory of Educational Effectiveness Indicators

				<p>3. <u>Artifact</u>: Literature review section of paper</p> <p>4. <u>Instruments/Grading Rubrics</u>:</p> <p>a. Instructor-developed rubric for assignment</p> <p>    Campus-developed rubric for Information Literary</p>	<p>Appropriateness of Sources (M=3.44, SD=0.48)</p> <p>Papers showed the most weakness in Evaluating Sources (M=2.86, SD=0.58)</p>		
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Paper	Reader	Document Conventions	Appropriateness of Sources	Evaluating Sources	Integrating Sources	Mean Ind. Scores
1	1	3.0	4.0	2.0	2.0	
	2	3.0	4.0	3.0	3.0	
	3	3.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	
	<b>Average</b>	<b>3.0</b>	<b>4.0</b>	<b>3.0</b>	<b>2.7</b>	<b>3.2</b>
2	1	3.0	3.0	4.0	3.0	
	2	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	
	3	3.0	3.0	2.0	3.0	
	<b>Average</b>	<b>3.3</b>	<b>3.3</b>	<b>3.3</b>	<b>3.3</b>	<b>3.3</b>
3	1	2.0	4.0	3.0	3.0	
	2	3.0	4.0	3.0	3.0	
	3	3.0	3.0	2.0	3.0	
	<b>Average</b>	<b>2.7</b>	<b>3.7</b>	<b>2.7</b>	<b>3.0</b>	<b>3.0</b>
4	1	3.0	4.0	3.0	3.0	
	2	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	
	3	4.0	4.0	3.0	3.0	
	<b>Average</b>	<b>3.7</b>	<b>4.0</b>	<b>3.3</b>	<b>3.3</b>	<b>3.6</b>
5	1	1.0	2.0	1.0	1.0	
	2	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	
	3	2.0	3.0	2.0	2.0	
	<b>Average</b>	<b>1.7</b>	<b>2.3</b>	<b>1.7</b>	<b>1.7</b>	<b>1.8</b>
6	1	3.0	4.0	2.0	3.0	
	2	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	

## Inventory of Educational Effectiveness Indicators

	3	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	
	Average	3.3	3.7	3.0	3.3	3.3
7	1	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	
	2	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	
	3	3.0	3.0	3.0	4.0	
	Average	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.7	3.4
8	1	3.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	
	2	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	
	3	3.0	4.0	3.0	4.0	
	Average	3.3	4.0	3.7	4.0	3.8
9	1	1.0	4.0	1.0	1.0	
	2	2.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	
	3	2.0	3.0	2.0	3.0	
	Average	1.7	3.3	2.0	2.3	2.3
10	1	4.0	3.0	3.0	4.0	
	2	3.0	3.0	2.0	2.0	
	3	3.0	4.0	3.0	4.0	
	Average	3.3	3.3	2.7	3.3	3.2
11	1	4.0	3.0	3.0	4.0	
	2	4.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	
	3	2.0	4.0	3.0	3.0	
	Average	3.3	3.3	3.0	3.3	3.3
12	1	3.0	2.0	3.0	3.0	
	2	4.0	4.0	3.0	3.0	
	3	2.0	3.0	2.0	3.0	
	Average	3.0	3.0	2.7	3.0	2.9
	Mean	2.97	3.44	2.86	3.08	3.09
	SD	0.66	0.48	0.58	0.62	0.53