MEMORANDUM

TO: Barbara Leonard  
Chair, Faculty Congress

FROM: Donald Straney  
Chancellor

SUBJECT: Congress motion regarding time for tenure applicants to respond to new dossier materials

December 8, 2010

I am in receipt of your memorandum of November 8, 2010, transmitting a Faculty Congress recommendation dealing with the time a tenure applicant might have to respond to materials placed in his or her dossier.

This motion, which was passed by Congress on September 24, appears to be moot at this time. It was to amend certain "current procedure language until the new guidelines take effect next year" (emphasis supplied).

The motion appears to pertain to Article XIIG2 of the current UHPA Agreement and would prescribe the time period in which tenure applicants might respond to materials placed in their dossiers. The Agreement states that, "once the DPC has begun its review, no unsolicited information will be included in the dossier."

According to this year's tenure and promotion schedule, the DPCs should have completed their review of dossiers by now, and there is no further opportunity this year for such new material to be placed in candidates' dossiers.

For this reason, I am neither approving nor disapproving this motion and returning it unsigned.

Thank you.

cc: Philip Castille, Vice Chancellor for Academic Affairs
Date: November 8, 2010

To: Chancellor Donald Straney

From: Barbara Leonard, 2010-2011 Faculty Congress Chair

Re: September 24, 2010 Motion Regarding Hiring of Student Teaching Assistants

Dear Chancellor Straney,

The following motion was passed by Faculty Congress at its September 24, 2010 meeting.

Discussion of time period allowed for tenure applicants to respond to new dossier materials:
• R. Figueroa-Centeno, Chair, introduced topic and discussed the need to amend current procedure language until the new guidelines take effect next year. He motioned to consider topic as a motion for this meeting (this needed approval because of the 48-hour rule). Vote was 16 for, 1 against, 3 abstain. Motion considered.
• Committee proposed that current period of 5 days was insufficient to respond (and unequal for those counting weekends) and that the period be changed to 2 business weeks (10 days + 1).
• Motion passed unanimously.

Barbara Leonard, Chair  
Jean Ippolito, Vice Chair

Please indicate your approval, yes or no below within 30 days of receipt of this letter. If you do not approve the motion, please indicate why. Thank you for your kokua.

Yes _____
No _____

Comments: